

Cosmological Structure Formation

- governing equations
- growth of matter perturbations
- statistics of perturbations
- non-linear structure formation

governing equations

- growth of matter perturbations
- statistics of perturbations
- non-linear structure formation

the Universe is filled with a perfect fluid

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -pg^{\mu\nu} + (\rho c^2 + p)u^{\mu}u^{\nu}$$

the Universe is filled with a perfect fluid...

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -pg^{\mu\nu} + (\rho c^2 + p)u^{\mu}u^{\nu}$$

...which we treat non-relativisticly

$$\Delta \Psi = 4\pi G(\rho + \frac{3p}{c^2})$$

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left((\rho + \frac{p}{c^2}) \vec{v} \right) = 0$$

• conservation of momentum

$$(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2})\left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla)\vec{v}\right) = -(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2})\nabla\Psi - \nabla p$$

• equation of state

 $p = p(\rho, S)$

two distinct approaches to following structure formation

• Eulerian viewpoint:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left((\rho + \frac{p}{c^2}) \vec{v} \right) = 0$$

(we measure the flow...)

• Lagrange viewpoint:

$$\vec{x}(t) = \vec{q} + D(t)\vec{S}(\vec{q})$$

(we follow mass elements...)

two distinct approaches to following structure formation

• Eulerian viewpoint: \rightarrow preferred approach for the time being...

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left((\rho + \frac{p}{c^2}) \vec{v} \right) = 0$$

(we measure the flow...)

• Lagrange viewpoint:

$$\vec{x}(t) = \vec{q} + D(t)\vec{S}(\vec{q})$$

(we follow mass elements...)

$$\Delta \Psi = 4\pi G(\rho + \frac{3p}{c^2})$$

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left((\rho + \frac{p}{c^2}) \vec{v} \right) = 0$$

• conservation of momentum

$$(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2})\left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla)\vec{v}\right) = -(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2})\nabla\Psi - \nabla p$$

• equation of state

 $p = p(\rho, S)$

$$\Delta \Psi = 4\pi G(\rho + \frac{3p}{c^2})$$

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left((\rho + \frac{p}{c^2}) \vec{v} \right) = 0$$

• conservation of momentum

$$(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2})\left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla)\vec{v}\right) = -(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2})\nabla\Psi - \nabla p$$

• equation of state

 $p = p(\rho, S)$

$$\Delta \Psi = 4\pi G(\rho + \frac{3p}{c^2})$$

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left((\rho + \frac{p}{c^2}) \vec{v} \right) = 0$$

• conservation of momentum

$$(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2})\left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla)\vec{v}\right) = -(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2})\nabla\Psi - \nabla p$$

• equation of state

$$p=p(\rho,S)$$

we want to solve these equations for a **non-relativistic fluid** in...

...an **expanding Universe**, and characterized by

$$\Delta \Psi = 4\pi G(\rho + \frac{\beta p}{c^2})$$

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\left(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2} \right) \vec{v} \right) = 0$$

conservation of momentum

$$(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2}) \left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v} \right) = -(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2}) \nabla \Psi - \nabla p$$

• equation of state

$$p = p(\rho, S)$$

we want to solve these equations for a **non-relativistic fluid** in...

 $\rightarrow p \ll \rho c^2$

...an **expanding Universe**, and characterized by

$$\Delta \Psi = 4\pi G(\rho + \frac{\beta p}{c^2})$$

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\left(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2} \right) \vec{v} \right) = 0$$

conservation of momentum

$$(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2}) \left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v} \right) = -(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2}) \nabla \Psi - \nabla p$$

• equation of state

 $p = p(\rho, S)$

- Note:
- ∇p can nevertheless be large
- baryonic matter fulfills $p \ll \rho c^2$, too
- we further assume adiabatic perturbations: $\nabla p = c_s^2 \nabla \rho$

we want to solve these equations for a **non-relativistic fluid** in...

 $\rightarrow p \ll \rho c^2$

...an expanding Universe, and characterized by

$$\Delta \Psi = 4\pi G(\rho + \frac{\beta p}{c^2})$$

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\left(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2} \right) \vec{v} \right) = 0$$

conservation of momentum

$$\left(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla)\vec{v}\right) = -\left(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2}\right) \nabla \Psi - \nabla p$$

• equation of state

 $p = p(\rho, S)$

- Note:
- ∇p can nevertheless be large
- baryonic matter fulfills $p << \rho c^2$, too
- we further assume adiabatic perturbations: $\nabla p = c_s^2 \nabla \rho$

we want to solve these equations for a **non-relativistic fluid** in...

 $\rightarrow p \ll \rho c^2 \rightarrow$

...an expanding Universe, and characterized by

✓ non-relativistic fluid

$$\Delta \Psi = 4\pi G \rho$$

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho \vec{v} \right) = 0$$

• conservation of momentum

$$\left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla)\vec{v}\right) = -\nabla \Psi - \frac{\nabla p}{\rho}$$

adiabatic perturbations

$$\nabla p = c_s^2 \nabla \rho$$

we want to solve these equations in...

...an **expanding Universe**, and characterized by

✓ non-relativistic fluid

$$\Delta \Psi = 4\pi G \rho$$

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho \vec{v} \right) = 0$$

• conservation of momentum

$$\left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla)\vec{v}\right) = -\nabla \Psi - \frac{\nabla p}{\rho}$$

adiabatic perturbations

$$\nabla p = c_s^2 \nabla \rho$$

we want to solve these equations in...

...an expanding Universe, and characterized by

comoving coordinates!

 $\vec{r} = a\vec{x}$

comoving coordinate

X

$$\vec{r} = a\vec{x}$$

$$\vec{v} = \vec{u} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{r}$$
 with $\vec{u} = a\vec{x}$

x	comoving coordinate
u	peculiar velocity field

 $\vec{r} = a\vec{x}$

$$\vec{v} = \vec{u} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{r}$$
 with $\vec{u} = a\vec{x}$

$$\Psi = \Phi - \frac{1}{2}a\ddot{a}x^2$$

*we will see below that Φ is sourced by matter **perturbations**

 $\vec{r} = a\vec{x}$

$$\vec{v} = \vec{u} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{r}$$
 with $\vec{u} = a\vec{x}$

X	comoving coordinate
U	peculiar velocity field
Φ	peculiar potential

$$\Psi = \Phi - \frac{1}{2}a\ddot{a}x^2$$

$$\nabla = \frac{1}{a} \nabla_x$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{\vec{r}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{\vec{x}} - \frac{\dot{a}}{a}(\vec{x} \cdot \nabla_x) \quad \text{convective time derivative}$$

$$\vec{r} = a\vec{x}$$

$$\vec{v} = \vec{u} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{r} \quad \text{with} \quad \vec{u} = a\vec{x}$$

$$\Psi = \Phi - \frac{1}{2}a\ddot{a}x^{2}$$

$$\nabla = \frac{1}{a}\nabla_{x}$$

$$\int_{d\bar{t}}^{f=f(\bar{r},t)} \int_{g=g(\bar{x},t)}^{f=f(\bar{r},t)} \int_{g=g(\bar{x},t)}^{f=f(\bar{r},t)} \int_{g=g(\bar{x},t)}^{f=f(\bar{r},t)} \int_{g=g(\bar{x},t)}^{f=f(\bar{r},t)} \int_{g=g(\bar{x},t)}^{g=g(\bar{x},t)} \int_{g=g(\bar{x},t)}^{f=f(\bar{r},t)} \int_{g=g(\bar{x},t)}^{g=g(\bar{x},t)} \int_{g=g(\bar{x},t)}^{f=f(\bar{r},t)} \int_{g=g(\bar{x},t)}^{g=g(\bar{x},t)} \int_{g=g(\bar{x},$$

✓ non-relativistic fluid

$$\Delta \Psi = 4\pi G \rho$$

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho \vec{v} \right) = 0$$

conservation of momentum

$$\left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla)\vec{v}\right) = -\nabla \Psi - \frac{\nabla p}{\rho}$$

adiabatic perturbations

$$\nabla p = c_s^2 \nabla \rho$$

we want to solve these equations in...

...an expanding Universe, and characterized by

transformation to comoving coordinates \rightarrow

Notes:

- Friedmann equations are for the "background" ar
 ho
- ullet the comoving potential Φ is responsible for the growth of perturbations
- there is no solution to Poisson's equation in infinite space unless the source function averages to zero
- inclusion of Λ -term will not change result (it would be compensated by the appearance in the 2nd Friedmann equation)

Cosmological Structure Formation	governing equations	
		$\vec{r} = a\vec{x}$
transformation to comoving coordinate	$\vec{v} = \vec{u} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{r}$ with $\vec{u} = a\vec{x}$	
 comoving continuity equation 	$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = 0$	$\Psi = \Phi - \frac{1}{2}a\ddot{a}x^2$
	∂t (*)	$\nabla = \frac{1}{a} \nabla_x$
		$\left \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right _{\vec{x}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left _{\vec{x}} - \frac{\dot{a}}{a} (\vec{x} \cdot \nabla_x) \right $

<u>Notes</u>:

• it contains an additional drag term due to the cosmic expansion

Cosmological Structure Formation

governing equations

- transformation to comoving coordinates in detail...
 - comoving conservation of momentum

 $\left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla)\vec{v}\right) = -\nabla \Psi - \frac{\nabla p}{\rho}$

Cosmological Structure Formation

governing equations

transformation to comoving coordinates in detail...

• comoving conservation of momentum $\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a}(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla_x)\vec{u} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{u} = -\frac{1}{a}\nabla_x\Phi - \frac{1}{a}\frac{\nabla_x p}{\rho}$

Notes:

• it also contains an additional drag term due to the cosmic expansion
$$\Delta_x \Phi = 4\pi G a^2 (\rho - \overline{\rho})$$

✓ non-relativistic fluid

 \checkmark comoving coordinates

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla_x \cdot (\rho \vec{u}) + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\rho = 0$$

• conservation of momentum

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a}(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla_x)\vec{u} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{u} = -\frac{1}{a}\nabla_x\Phi - \frac{1}{a}\frac{\nabla_xp}{\rho}$$

adiabatic perturbations

$$\nabla_x p = c_s^2 \nabla_x \rho$$

we want to solve these equations for...

$$\Delta_x \Phi = 4\pi Ga^2(\rho - \overline{\rho})$$

perturbations are the source

 \checkmark non-relativistic fluid

✓ comoving coordinates

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla_x \cdot (\rho \vec{u}) + 3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \rho = 0$$

conservation of momentum

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a}(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla_x)\vec{u} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{u} = -\frac{1}{a}\nabla_x\Phi - \frac{1}{a}\frac{\nabla_xp}{\rho}$$

adiabatic perturbations

$$\nabla_x p = c_s^2 \nabla_x \rho$$

we want to solve these equations for...

• Poisson's equation

density contrast: $\delta = \frac{\rho - \rho}{\overline{\rho}}$ $\Delta_x \Phi = 4\pi Ga \left[(\rho - \overline{\rho}) \right]$

✓ non-relativistic fluid

 \checkmark comoving coordinates

continuity equation perturbations are the source

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla_x \cdot (\rho \vec{u}) + 3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \rho = 0$$

conservation of momentum

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a}(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla_x)\vec{u} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{u} = -\frac{1}{a}\nabla_x\Phi - \frac{1}{a}\frac{\nabla_xp}{\rho}$$

• adiabatic perturbations

$$\nabla_x p = c_s^2 \nabla_x \rho$$

we want to solve these equations for...

• Poisson's equation

density contrast: $\delta = \frac{\rho - \overline{\rho}}{\overline{\rho}}$ $\Delta_x \Phi = 4\pi G a^2 (\rho - \overline{\rho})$

✓ non-relativistic fluid

 \checkmark comoving coordinates

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla_x \cdot (\rho \vec{u}) + 3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \rho = 0$$

conservation of momentum

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a}(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla_x)\vec{u} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{u} = -\frac{1}{a}\nabla_x\Phi - \frac{1}{a}\frac{\nabla_xp}{\rho}$$

adiabatic perturbations

$$\nabla_x p = c_s^2 \nabla_x \rho$$

we want to solve these equations for...

 $\rho = \overline{\rho}(1+\delta), \quad \delta = \frac{\rho - \overline{\rho}}{\overline{\rho}}$

...**small perturbations** about a homogeneous and isotropic background (and dropping subscript x)

- introducing density contrast in detail...
 - Poisson's equation $\Delta_x \Phi = 4\pi G a^2 (\rho \overline{\rho})$





introducing density contrast in detail...

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla_x \cdot (\rho \vec{u}) + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \rho = 0$$



introducing density contrast in detail...

• conservation of momentum

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a}(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla_x)\vec{u} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{u} = -\frac{1}{a}\nabla_x\Phi - \frac{1}{a}\frac{\nabla_xp}{\rho}$$



$$\Delta \Phi = 4\pi G a^2 \overline{\rho} \delta$$

 \checkmark non-relativistic fluid

✓ comoving coordinates

 \checkmark perturbations

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot \left[(1+\delta) \vec{u} \right] = 0$$

conservation of momentum

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a}(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla)\vec{u} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{u} = -\frac{1}{a}\nabla\Phi - \frac{c_s^2}{a}\frac{\nabla\delta}{1+\delta}$$

• adiabatic perturbations

$$\nabla p = c_s^2 \, \nabla \rho$$

we want to solve these equations for...

$$\Delta \Phi = 4\pi G a^2 \overline{\rho} \delta$$

 \checkmark non-relativistic fluid

✓ comoving coordinates

✓ perturbations

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot \left[(1+\delta) \vec{u} \right] = 0$$

conservation of momentum

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a}(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla)\vec{u} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{u} = -\frac{1}{a}\nabla\Phi - \frac{c_s^2}{a}\frac{\nabla\delta}{1+\delta}$$

• adiabatic perturbations

$$\nabla p = c_s^2 \, \nabla \rho$$

we want to solve these equations for...

linearization $\delta << 1$, $\frac{(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla)}{a} << H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$

$$\Delta \Phi = 4\pi G a^2 \overline{\rho} \delta$$

 \checkmark non-relativistic fluid

✓ comoving coordinates

 \checkmark perturbations

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot \left[(1 + \mathbf{i}) \vec{u} \right] = 0$$

• conservation of momentum

• adiabatic perturbations

$$\nabla p = c_s^2 \, \nabla \rho$$

we want to solve these equations for...

nearization
$$\delta \ll 1$$
, $\frac{(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla)}{a} \ll H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$

$$\Delta \Phi = 4\pi G a^2 \overline{\rho} \delta$$

 \checkmark non-relativistic fluid

 \checkmark comoving coordinates

✓ small perturbations

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

• conservation of momentum

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{u} = -\frac{1}{a}\nabla\Phi - \frac{c_s^2}{a}\nabla\delta$$

• adiabatic perturbations

$$\nabla p = c_s^2 \, \nabla \rho$$

• Poisson's equation – **careful** (multiple components)

$$\Delta \Phi = 4\pi G a^2 \bar{\rho}_{tot} \left(\frac{\bar{\rho}}{\bar{\rho}_{tot}} \delta + \frac{\bar{\rho}_X}{\bar{\rho}_{tot}} \delta_X + \frac{\bar{\rho}_Y}{\bar{\rho}_{tot}} \delta_Y + \cdots \right) \overset{\checkmark \text{ comoving }}{\checkmark \text{ small perturbed}}$$

✓ non-relativistic fluid
 ✓ comoving coordinates
 ✓ small perturbations

• continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

conservation of momentum

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{u} = -\frac{1}{a}\nabla\Phi - \frac{c_s^2}{a}\nabla\delta$$

• adiabatic perturbations

$$\nabla p = c_s^2 \, \nabla \rho$$









the only quantity common to all possible components is the grav. potential!





combine and eliminate u, ∇p , and Φ

 \rightarrow

continuity equation:
$$0 = \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot \vec{u}$$

momentum equation:
$$0 = \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{u} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \Phi + \frac{c_s^2}{a} \nabla \delta$$

 $\cdot B$

$$A = 0 = \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot \vec{u}$$

$$B = 0 = \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{u} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \Phi + \frac{c_s^2}{a} \nabla \delta$$

$$B = 0 = \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{u} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \Phi + \frac{c_s^2}{a} \nabla \delta$$

$$A = 0 = \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot \vec{u}$$

$$B = 0 = \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{u} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \Phi + \frac{c_s^2}{a} \nabla \delta$$

$$B = 0 = \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{u} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \Phi + \frac{c_s^2}{a} \nabla \delta$$

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot \vec{u} \right) \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot B = \frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \vec{u} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \Phi + \frac{c_s^2}{a} \nabla \delta \right)$$
$$= \frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\dot{a}}{a^2} \nabla \cdot \vec{u} + \frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \vec{u}$$
continuity equation
$$= \frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \vec{u}$$
$$= \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \vec{u} - \dot{a} \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a} \Delta \Phi + \frac{c_s^2}{a} \Delta \delta \right)$$

$$A = 0 = \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot \vec{u}$$

$$B = 0 = \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{u} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \Phi + \frac{c_s^2}{a} \nabla \delta$$

$$B = 0 = \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{u} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \Phi + \frac{c_s^2}{a} \nabla \delta$$

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(x, t)$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\overline{\rho}\delta - \frac{c_s^2}{a^2}\Delta\delta = 0$$

- ✓ non-relativistic fluid
- ✓ comoving coordinates
- \checkmark small density contrast

- valid for arbitrary cosmologies
- valid for collisionless ($c_s = 0$) and collisional matter ($c_s != 0$)

• cosmological expansion acts as damping term

governing equations

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(x, t)$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\overline{\rho}\delta - \frac{c_s^2}{a^2}\Delta\delta = 0$$

- ✓ non-relativistic fluid
- ✓ comoving coordinates
- \checkmark small density contrast

- valid for arbitrary cosmologies
- valid for collisionless ($c_s = 0$) and collisional matter ($c_s != 0$)
- cosmological expansion acts as damping term

 \rightarrow describes **matter perturbations** well **inside** the Hubble radius!

governing equations

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(x, t)$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\overline{\rho}\delta - \frac{c_s^2}{a^2}\Delta\delta = 0$$

- ✓ non-relativistic fluid
- ✓ comoving coordinates
- \checkmark small density contrast

- valid for arbitrary cosmologies
- valid for collisionless ($c_s = 0$) and collisional matter ($c_s != 0$)
- cosmological expansion acts as damping term

- \rightarrow describes **matter perturbations** well **inside** the Hubble radius!
- \rightarrow additional components enter only into $4\pi G$ -term!*

*this equation describes the evolution of the perturbations of a single, specific component which nevertheless could be coupled gravitationally to other components...

- governing equations
- growth of matter perturbations
- statistics of perturbations
- non-linear structure formation

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(x, t)$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\overline{\rho}\delta - \frac{c_s^2}{a^2}\Delta\delta = 0$$

- valid for arbitrary cosmologies
- valid for collisionless ($c_s = 0$) and collisional matter ($c_s != 0$)

• cosmological expansion acts as damping term

• Ansatz for solution $\delta(x, t)$: decomposition* into waves

$$\delta(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \delta_k(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$

*we are dealing with a linear equation...

matter perturbations

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(x, t)$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\overline{\rho}\delta - \frac{c_s^2}{a^2}\Delta\delta = 0$$

- valid for arbitrary cosmologies
- valid for collisionless ($c_s = 0$) and collisional matter ($c_s != 0$)

• cosmological expansion acts as damping term

• Ansatz for solution $\delta(x, t)$: single wave

$$\delta(\vec{x},t) = \delta_k(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(x, t)$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\overline{\rho}\delta - \frac{c_s^2}{a^2}\Delta\delta = 0$$

- valid for arbitrary cosmologies
- valid for collisionless ($c_s = 0$) and collisional matter ($c_s != 0$)

• cosmological expansion acts as damping term

• Ansatz for solution $\delta(x, t)$: single wave

$$\delta(\vec{x},t) = \delta_k(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$

 $\Delta \delta = -k^2 \delta$

matter perturbations

 $\delta(\vec{x},t) = \delta_k(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$

• evolution of density contrast $\delta_k(t)$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta_k}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta_k}{\partial t} + \left(\frac{c_s^2}{a^2}k^2 - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\right)\delta_k = 0$$

- valid for arbitrary cosmologies
- valid for collisionless ($c_s = 0$) and collisional matter ($c_s != 0$)

• cosmological expansion acts as damping term

matter perturbations

• evolution of density contrast $\delta_k(t)$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta_k}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta_k}{\partial t} + \left(\frac{c_s^2}{a^2}k^2 - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\right)\delta_k = 0$$

- valid for arbitrary cosmologies
- valid for collisionless ($c_s = 0$) and collisional matter ($c_s != 0$)
- cosmological expansion acts as damping term

$$m\frac{d^2x(t)}{dt^2} + c\frac{dx(t)}{dt} + kx(t) = 0$$

damped harmonic oscillator

$$\delta(\vec{x},t) = \delta_k(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$

matter perturbations

 $\delta(\vec{x},t) = \delta_k(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$

• evolution of density contrast $\delta_k(t)$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta_k}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta_k}{\partial t} + \left(\frac{c_s^2}{a^2}k^2 - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\right)\delta_k = 0$$

- valid for arbitrary cosmologies
- valid for collisionless ($c_s = 0$) and collisional matter ($c_s != 0$)

• cosmological expansion acts as damping term

we need to solve this for every wave (as characterized by its individual k) separately...

matter perturbations

 $\delta(\vec{x},t) = \delta_k(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$

• evolution of density contrast $\delta_k(t)$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta_k}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta_k}{\partial t} + \left(\frac{c_s^2}{a^2}k^2 - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\right)\delta_k = 0$$

- valid for arbitrary cosmologies
- valid for collisionless ($c_s = 0$) and collisional matter ($c_s != 0$)
- cosmological expansion acts as damping term
- '()' reflects balance between pressure support* and gravity

*note, we allowed for baryonic matter/pressure gradients...
matter perturbations

 $\delta(\vec{x},t) = \delta_k(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$

• evolution of density contrast $\delta_k(t)$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta_k}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta_k}{\partial t} + \left(\frac{c_s^2}{a^2}k^2 - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\right)\delta_k = 0$$

- valid for arbitrary cosmologies
- valid for collisionless ($c_s = 0$) and collisional matter ($c_s != 0$)
- cosmological expansion acts as damping term
- '()' reflects balance between pressure support and gravity

 $\frac{k^2}{a^2} < \frac{4\pi G\bar{\rho}}{c_s^2} \qquad => \quad `()' < 0 \quad => \text{ gravitational collapse}$ $\frac{k^2}{a^2} > \frac{4\pi G\bar{\rho}}{c_s^2} \qquad => \quad `()' > 0 \quad => \text{ oscillations (w/ decreasing amplitude due to damping term)}$

matter perturbations

 $\delta(\vec{x},t) = \delta_k(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$

• evolution of density contrast $\delta_k(t)$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta_k}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta_k}{\partial t} + \left(\frac{c_s^2}{a^2}k^2 - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\right)\delta_k = 0$$

- valid for arbitrary cosmologies
- valid for collisionless ($c_s = 0$) and collisional matter ($c_s != 0$)
- cosmological expansion acts as damping term
- '()' reflects balance between pressure support and gravity

 $\frac{k^2}{a^2} < \frac{4\pi G\bar{\rho}}{c_s^2} \qquad => \quad `()' < 0 \quad => \text{ gravitational collapse}$ $\frac{k^2}{a^2} > \frac{4\pi G\bar{\rho}}{c_s^2} \qquad => \quad `()' > 0 \quad => \text{ oscillations (w/ decreasing amplitude due to damping term)}$

• Jeans limits:
$$\lambda_J = c_s \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{G\overline{\rho}}}$$
 $M_{J,w} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \left(\frac{\lambda_J}{2}\right)^3 \overline{\rho}_w$ $k = \frac{2\pi a}{\lambda}$

matter perturbations

 $\delta(\vec{x},t) = \delta_k(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$

• evolution of density contrast $\delta_k(t)$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta_k}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta_k}{\partial t} + \left(\frac{c_s^2}{a^2}k^2 - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\right)\delta_k = 0$$

- valid for arbitrary cosmologies
- valid for collisionless ($c_s = 0$) and collisional matter ($c_s != 0$)
- cosmological expansion acts as damping term
- '()' reflects balance between pressure support and gravity

 $\frac{k^2}{a^2} < \frac{4\pi G\bar{\rho}}{c_s^2} \qquad => \quad `()' < 0 \quad => \text{ gravitational collapse}$ $\frac{k^2}{a^2} > \frac{4\pi G\bar{\rho}}{c_s^2} \qquad => \quad `()' > 0 \quad => \text{ oscillations (w/ decreasing amplitude due to damping term)}$

• Jeans limits:
$$\lambda_J = c_s \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{G\overline{\rho}}}$$
 $M_{J,w} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \left(\frac{\lambda_J}{2}\right)^3 \overline{\rho}_w$ $k = \frac{2\pi a}{\lambda}$

Jeans *length* depends on all gravitating components

Jeans mass defined for certain component



matter perturbations

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(t)$ for dark matter

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\overline{\rho}\delta = 0$$

matter perturbations

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(t)$ for dark matter

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\delta = 0$$

'-' \approx no oscillations!

matter perturbations

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(t)$ for dark matter

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\delta = 0$$

other formulations possible

matter perturbations

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(t)$ for dark matter

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\overline{\rho}\delta = 0$$

$$\int 4\pi G\overline{\rho} = 4\pi G\Omega_m \rho_{crit} = 4\pi G\Omega_m \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G} = \frac{3}{2}\Omega_m H^2$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2H\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - \frac{3}{2}\Omega_m H^2 \delta = 0$$

other formulations possible

matter perturbations

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(t)$ for dark matter

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\overline{\rho}\delta = 0$$

$$\downarrow 4\pi G\overline{\rho} = 4\pi G\Omega_m \rho_{crit} = 4\pi G\Omega_m \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G} = \frac{3}{2}\Omega_m H^2$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2H\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - \frac{3}{2}\Omega_m H^2 \delta = 0$$

$$\downarrow t = t(a)$$

$$q = -\frac{\ddot{a}a}{\dot{a}^2}$$

other formulations possible

matter perturbations

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(t)$ for dark matter

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\overline{\rho}\delta = 0$$

$$\downarrow 4\pi G\overline{\rho} = 4\pi G\Omega_m \rho_{crit} = 4\pi G\Omega_m \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G} = \frac{3}{2}\Omega_m H^2$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2H\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - \frac{3}{2}\Omega_m H^2 \delta = 0$$

$$\downarrow t = t(a)$$

$$q = -\frac{\ddot{a}a}{\dot{a}^2}$$

other formulations possible:

the choice is yours....

matter perturbations

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(t)$ for dark matter

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\delta = 0$$

solutions:

$$\delta(a) = \frac{5}{2} \Omega_{m,0} \frac{H}{H_0} \int_0^a \frac{1}{\left(a\frac{H}{H_0}\right)^3} da$$

exact solution

$$\delta(a) \approx \frac{5a}{2} \Omega_m(a) \left[\Omega_m^{4/7}(a) - \Omega_\Lambda(a) + \left(1 + \frac{\Omega_m(a)}{2} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\Omega_\Lambda(a)}{70} \right) \right]^{-1}$$

approx. solution

matter perturbations

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(t)$ for dark matter

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\delta = 0$$

solutions:

$$\delta(a) = \frac{5}{2} \Omega_{m,0} \frac{H}{H_0} \int_0^a \frac{1}{\left(a \frac{H}{H_0}\right)^3} da \qquad \text{exact solution}$$
$$\delta(a) \approx \frac{5a}{2} \Omega_m(a) \left[\Omega_m^{4/7}(a) - \Omega_\Lambda(a) + \left(1 + \frac{\Omega_m(a)}{2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\Omega_\Lambda(a)}{70}\right) \right]^{-1} \quad \text{approx. solution}$$

olution

- there are various ways to characterize/quantify the growth of perturbations, e.g.
 - growth **factor** $g = \delta/a$
 - logarithmic growth **rate** $f = d\ln \delta / d\ln a$

















• evolution of density contrast $\delta(t)$ for *dark matter* during matter domination

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\overline{\rho}\delta = 0$$

matter perturbations

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(t)$ for *dark matter* during matter domination

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G \overline{\rho} \phi = 0$$

matter domination:

 $\Omega_m = 1$ solution for a(t):

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \frac{2}{3t}$$
$$4\pi G\overline{\rho} = \frac{2}{3t^2}$$

matter perturbations

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(t)$ for *dark matter* during matter domination

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\overline{\rho}\delta = 0$$

matter domination:

 $\Omega_m = 1$ solution for a(t): $4\pi G\overline{\rho} = \frac{2}{3t^2}$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + \frac{4}{3t} \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - \frac{2}{3t^2} \delta = 0$$

matter perturbations

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(t)$ for *dark matter* during matter domination

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\overline{\rho}\delta = 0$$

 $\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \frac{2}{3t}$

matter domination:

 $\Omega_m = 1$ solution for a(t):

 $\ddot{\delta} = n(n-1)Ct^{n-2}$

matter perturbations

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(t)$ for *dark matter* during matter domination

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\overline{\rho}\delta = 0$$

matter domination:

 $\Omega_{m}=1 \text{ solution for } a(t):$ $\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \frac{2}{3t}$ $4\pi G \overline{\rho} = \frac{2}{3t^{2}}$ $\frac{\partial^{2} \delta}{\partial t^{2}} + \frac{4}{3t} \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - \frac{2}{3t^{2}} \delta = 0$ $\int_{0}^{\delta = Ct^{e}} \frac{\delta^{\delta} - Ct^{e}}{\delta = n(n-1)Ct^{e-2}}$ $\delta = C_{1}t^{2/3} + C_{2}t^{-1} \quad \text{(growing mode + decaying mode)}$

matter perturbations

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(t)$ for dark matter during matter domination

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\overline{\rho}\delta = 0$$

matter domination:

 $\Omega_m = 1$ solution for a(t): $4\pi G\overline{\rho} = \frac{2}{3t^2}$ $\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + \frac{4}{3t} \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - \frac{2}{3t^2} \delta = 0$ $\delta = Ct^{n}$ $\dot{\delta} = nCt^{n-1}$ $\ddot{\delta} = n(n-1)Ct^{n-2}$ $\delta = C_1 t^{2/3} + C_2 t^{-1}$ (growing mode + decaying mode)

- consider growing mode only...

 $\delta \propto a$ - remember $a \sim t^{2/3}$ (for $\Omega_{\rm m}$ =1, cf. FRW lecture)

matter perturbations

• evolution of density contrast $\delta(t)$ for *dark matter* during matter domination

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\overline{\rho}\delta = 0$$



• dark matter perturbations – during all epochs


























































matter perturbations





Jeans Mass analysis – epochs & components:

$$\lambda_J(a) = c_s(a) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{G\overline{\rho}(a)}} \qquad \qquad M_{J,w}(a) = \frac{4\pi}{3} \left(\frac{\lambda_J(a)}{2}\right)^3 \overline{\rho}_w(a)$$



(time axis not to scale!)

- governing equations
- growth of matter perturbations
- statistics of perturbations
- non-linear structure formation

• evolution of density contrast $\delta_k(t)$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\delta = 0 \implies \delta(\vec{x},t) = \delta_k(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$





short wavelength, small amplitude

• decomposition of $\delta(x,t)$ into waves

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\overline{\rho}\delta = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \qquad \delta(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \delta_{\vec{k}}(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$



• decomposition of $\delta(x,t)$ into waves

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\delta = 0 \implies \delta(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \delta_{\vec{k}}(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$



• decomposition of $\delta(x,t)$ into waves

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\delta = 0 \implies \delta(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \delta_{\vec{k}}(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$



• decomposition of $\delta(x,t)$ into waves:

$$\delta(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \delta_{\vec{k}}(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$

• perturbation equation is linear

=>
$$\delta_{\vec{k}}(t)$$
 grow independently

$$\left< \delta(\vec{x}, t) \right> = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \left\langle \delta_{\vec{k}}\left(t\right)\right\rangle = 0 , \quad \delta_{\vec{k}}\left(t\right) = \delta_{-\vec{k}}\left(t\right)$$

• decomposition of $\delta(x,t)$ into waves:

$$\delta(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \delta_{\vec{k}}(t) \, e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$

- perturbation equation is linear
- => $\delta_{\vec{k}}(t)$ grow independently

• first moment

 $\left< \delta(\vec{x}, t) \right> = 0$

...

 $= > \quad \left\langle \delta_{\vec{k}}\left(t\right) \right\rangle = 0 \;, \quad \delta_{\vec{k}}\left(t\right) = \delta_{-\vec{k}}\left(t\right)$

• higher order moments:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_2 &= \left\langle \delta(\vec{x}_1, t) \delta(\vec{x}_2, t) \right\rangle \\ \xi_3 &= \left\langle \delta(\vec{x}_1, t) \delta(\vec{x}_2, t) \delta(\vec{x}_3, t) \right\rangle \\ \xi_4 &= \left\langle \delta(\vec{x}_1, t) \delta(\vec{x}_2, t) \delta(\vec{x}_3, t) \delta(\vec{x}_4, t) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

• decomposition of $\delta(x,t)$ into waves:

$$\delta(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \delta_{\vec{k}}(t) \, e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$

- perturbation equation is linear
- => $\delta_{\vec{k}}(t)$ grow independently

- first moment
 - $\left< \delta(\vec{x}, t) \right> = 0$

 $= > \quad \left\langle \delta_{\vec{k}}\left(t\right) \right\rangle = 0 \;, \quad \delta_{\vec{k}}\left(t\right) = \delta_{-\vec{k}}\left(t\right)$

|)

• higher order moments:

$$\begin{split} \xi_{2} &= \left\langle \delta(\vec{x}_{1},t) \delta(\vec{x}_{2},t) \right\rangle & \text{homogeneity \& isotropy} \\ \xi_{3} &= \left\langle \delta(\vec{x}_{1},t) \delta(\vec{x}_{2},t) \delta(\vec{x}_{3},t) \right\rangle & => & \dots \\ \xi_{4} &= \left\langle \delta(\vec{x}_{1},t) \delta(\vec{x}_{2},t) \delta(\vec{x}_{3},t) \delta(\vec{x}_{4},t) \right\rangle & \dots \end{split}$$

• decomposition of $\delta(x,t)$ into waves:

$$\delta(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \delta_{\vec{k}}(t) \, e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$

- perturbation equation is linear => $\delta_{\vec{k}}(t)$ grow independently

- first moment
 - $\left< \delta(\vec{x}, t) \right> = 0$

- $\Rightarrow \langle \delta_{\vec{k}}(t) \rangle = 0, \quad \delta_{\vec{k}}(t) = \delta_{-\vec{k}}(t)$
- two-point correlation function (2nd moment)

$$\xi_2(\vec{x}) = \frac{n_{pair}(\vec{x} + d\vec{x})}{n_{random}(\vec{x} + d\vec{x})} - 1$$



• decomposition of $\delta(x,t)$ into waves:

$$\delta(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \delta_{\vec{k}}(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$

• perturbation equation is linear => $\delta_{\vec{k}}(t)$ grow independently

• first moment

 $\left< \delta(\vec{x}, t) \right> = 0$ $\Rightarrow \langle \delta_{\vec{k}}(t) \rangle = 0, \quad \delta_{\vec{k}}(t) = \delta_{-\vec{k}}(t)$

• two-point correlation function (2nd moment)

$$\xi_2(\vec{x}) = \frac{n_{pair}(\vec{x} + d\vec{x})}{n_{random}(\vec{x} + d\vec{x})} - 1$$



• decomposition of $\delta(x,t)$ into waves:

$$\delta(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \delta_{\vec{k}}(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$

- perturbation equation is linear
- => $\delta_{\vec{k}}(t)$ grow independently

- first moment
 - $\left< \delta(\vec{x}, t) \right> = 0$

- $\Rightarrow \quad \left\langle \delta_{\vec{k}}\left(t\right)\right\rangle = 0 , \quad \delta_{\vec{k}}\left(t\right) = \delta_{-\vec{k}}\left(t\right)$
- two-point correlation function

$$\xi_2\left(\vec{x}\right) = \frac{n_{pair}(\vec{x} + d\vec{x})}{n_{random}(\vec{x} + d\vec{x})} - 1$$

• power spectrum

$$\xi_2\left(\vec{x}\right) = \frac{1}{\left(2\pi\right)^3} \int P(k) \, e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} d^3k = \frac{1}{2\pi^3} \int P(k) \, \frac{\sin(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x})}{\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} k^2 dk$$
$$P(k) = \left\langle \left|\delta_{\vec{k}}\right|^2 \right\rangle_{\left|\vec{k}\right|=k}$$

• decomposition of $\delta(x,t)$ into waves:

$$\delta(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \delta_{\vec{k}}(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$

 $\Rightarrow \delta_{\vec{k}}(t)$ grow independently

 $\Rightarrow \langle \delta_{\vec{k}}(t) \rangle = 0, \quad \delta_{\vec{k}}(t) = \delta_{-\vec{k}}(t)$

- perturbation equation is linear
 - equation is linear
- first moment
 - $\left< \delta(\vec{x}, t) \right> = 0$
- two-point correlation function

$$\xi_2(\vec{x}) = \frac{n_{pair}(\vec{x} + d\vec{x})}{n_{random}(\vec{x} + d\vec{x})} - 1$$

what is the initial shape?

• power spectrum

$$\xi_{2}\left(\vec{x}\right) = \frac{1}{\left(2\pi\right)^{3}} \int P(k) \, e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} d^{3}k = \frac{1}{2\pi^{3}} \int P(k) \, \frac{\sin(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x})}{\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} k^{2} dk$$
$$P(k) = \left\langle \left|\delta_{\vec{k}}\right|^{2} \right\rangle_{\left|\vec{k}\right|=k}$$



• power spectrum P(k) of perturbations





• power spectrum P(k) of perturbations





• power spectrum P(k) of perturbations – general shape





• power spectrum P(k) of perturbations – general shape


statistics of perturbations

$$\delta(\vec{x},a) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \delta_{\vec{k}}(a) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$

statistics of perturbations

$$\delta(\vec{x},a) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \delta_{\vec{k}}(a) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$
$$\delta_{\vec{k}}(a) = \frac{D(a)}{D(a_0)} \delta_{\vec{k}}(a_0) \quad ; \quad 0 = \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial D}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}D$$

statistics of perturbations

$$\delta(\vec{x},a) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \delta_{\vec{k}}(a) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$
$$\delta_{\vec{k}}(a) = \frac{D(a)}{D(a_0)} \delta_{\vec{k}}(a_0) \quad ; \quad 0 = \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial D}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}D$$

$$P(k) = \left\langle \left| \delta_{\vec{k}} \right|^2 \right\rangle_{|\vec{k}| = k} \quad \Rightarrow \quad P(k) = \left(\frac{D(a)}{D(a_0)} \right)^2 P_0(k)$$

statistics of perturbations

$$\delta(\vec{x},a) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \delta_{\vec{k}}(a) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$
$$\delta_{\vec{k}}(a) = \frac{D(a)}{D(a_0)} \delta_{\vec{k}}(a_0) \quad ; \quad 0 = \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial D}{\partial t} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}D$$

$$P(k) = \left\langle \left| \delta_{\vec{k}} \right|^2 \right\rangle_{|\vec{k}| = k} \implies \left(P(k) = \left(\frac{D(a)}{D(a_0)} \right)^2 P_0(k) \right)$$



- governing equations
- growth of matter perturbations
- statistics of perturbations
- non-linear structure formation

non-linear structure formation





- territory of computational cosmology...
- ...but powerful, analytical (quasi-linear) approaches exist, too:
 - Zel'dovich approximation (1st order Lagrangian perturbation theory)
 - Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - Press-Schechter halo mass function
 - ...

- territory of computational cosmology...
- ...but powerful, analytical (quasi-linear) approaches exist, too:
 - **Zel'dovich approximation** (1st order Lagrangian perturbation theory)
 - Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - Press-Schechter halo mass function
 - ...

$\vec{x}(t) = \vec{q} + D(t)\vec{S}(\vec{q})$

• Lagrangian viewpoint:



(we follow mass elements...)



• Eulerian viewpoint:



(we measure the flow...)



 $\vec{x}(t) = \vec{q} + D(t)\vec{S}(\vec{q})$

• Lagrangian viewpoint:



(we follow mass elements...)



• Eulerian viewpoint:



(we measure the flow...)

*Ist order Lagrangian perturbation theory (as opposed to Eulerian treatment from previous slides...)



 $\vec{x}(t) = \vec{q} + D(t)\vec{S}(\vec{q})$

initial (unperturbed!) position

+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +







 $\vec{x}(t) = \vec{q} + D(t)\vec{S}(\vec{q})$



 $\vec{x}(t) = \vec{q} + D(t)\vec{S}(\vec{q})$

$$\dot{\vec{x}} = \dot{D} \, \vec{S}(\vec{q})$$

$$\dot{\vec{x}} = \frac{1}{a} \vec{u}$$

$$\vec{u} = \dot{D}a \, \vec{S}(\vec{q})$$

 $\vec{x}(t) = \vec{q} + D(t)\vec{S}(\vec{q})$

$$\dot{\vec{x}} = \dot{D} \,\vec{S}(\vec{q}) \dot{\vec{x}} = \frac{1}{a} \vec{u}$$

$$\vec{u} = \dot{D}a \,\vec{S}(\vec{q})
\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} = \dot{a} \dot{D} \vec{S}(\vec{q}) + a \ddot{D} \vec{S}(\vec{q})$$

 $\vec{x}(t) = \vec{q} + D(t)\vec{S}(\vec{q})$

$$\vec{x} = \vec{D} \,\vec{S}(\vec{q})$$

$$\vec{x} = \frac{1}{a}\vec{u}$$

$$(2a\dot{a}\dot{D} + a^{2}\ddot{D})\vec{S}(\vec{q}) = -\nabla\Phi$$

$$\frac{\partial\vec{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\vec{u} = -\frac{1}{a}\nabla\Phi$$

conservation of momentum according to Eulerian perturbation theory (see above)

 $\vec{x}(t) = \vec{q} + D(t)\vec{S}(\vec{q})$

 $(2a\dot{a}\dot{D} + a^{2}\ddot{D})\vec{S}(\vec{q}) = -\nabla\Phi \qquad => \qquad \vec{S}(\vec{q}) = -\nabla\Psi$ $\Delta\Psi = \delta_{0}(\vec{x})$ $0 = \ddot{D} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{D} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}D$ $\Delta\Phi = 4\pi Ga^{2}\bar{\rho}\delta$ $\Phi = 4\pi Ga^{2}\bar{\rho}\Psi$

Zel'dovich approximation

 $\vec{x}(t) = \vec{q} + D(t)\vec{S}(\vec{q})$

 $(2a\dot{a}\dot{D} + a^2\ddot{D})\vec{S}(\vec{q}) = -\nabla\Phi$

$$0 = \ddot{D} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{D} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}D$$
$$\Delta \Phi = 4\pi G a^2 \bar{\rho}\delta$$
$$\Phi = 4\pi G a^2 \bar{\rho}\Psi$$

=>

 Ψ = "peculiar potential",

 $\vec{S}(\vec{q}) = -\nabla \Psi$

 $\Delta \Psi = \delta_0(\vec{x})$

sourced by initial perturbations

 $\vec{x}(a) = \vec{q} - D(a) \nabla \Psi$

$$D(a) = \frac{5}{2} \Omega_{m,0} H \int_{0}^{a} \frac{1}{\left(\Omega_{m,0} a^{-3} + (1 - \Omega_{m,0} - \Omega_{\Lambda,0}) a^{-2} + \Omega_{\Lambda,0}\right)} da$$

$$\Delta \Psi = \delta_0(\vec{x})$$

 $\vec{x}(a) = \vec{q} - D(a)\nabla \Psi$



$$\vec{x}(a) = \vec{q} - D(a)\nabla \Psi$$



z = 0





$$\vec{x}(a) = \vec{q} - D(a)\nabla\Psi + D^{(2)}\nabla\Psi^{(2)}$$



- territory of computational cosmology...
- ...but powerful, analytical (quasi-linear) approaches exist, too:
 - Zel'dovich approximation (1st order Lagrangian perturbation theory)

Spherical Top-Hat Collapse

- Press-Schechter halo mass function
- ...

Spherical Top-Hat Collapse

• spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const. \Rightarrow \delta_{TH}$?





- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const. \implies \delta_{TH}$?





- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const. \Rightarrow \delta_{TH}$?
 - Friedmann equation

$$\dot{R}_{TH}^{2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_{TH}R_{TH}^{2} - kc^{2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\frac{M_{TH}}{\frac{4\pi}{3}R_{TH}^{3}}R_{TH}^{2} - kc^{2} = \frac{2GM_{TH}}{R_{TH}} - kc^{2}$$



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const. \Rightarrow \delta_{TH}$?
 - Friedmann equation

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{R}_{TH}^2 - \frac{GM_{TH}}{R_{TH}} = -|k|c^2 < 0 \quad (k=1 \text{ for top-hat overdensity!} => \text{ closed Universe)}$$



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const. \Rightarrow \delta_{TH}$?
- Friedmann equation $\frac{1}{2}\dot{R}_{TH}^{2} - \frac{GM_{TH}}{R_{TH}} = -|k|c^{2} < 0 \qquad => \qquad \frac{R_{TH}}{R_{ta}} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos\eta) \qquad R_{ta} = \frac{2GM_{TH}}{c^{2}} \qquad \eta \in [0, 2\pi]$ $\frac{t}{t_{ta}} = \frac{1}{\pi}(\eta - \sin\eta) \qquad t_{ta} = \frac{\pi R_{ta}}{2c}$ parametric solution t_{ta} collapse of overdensity turn-around background expansion (i.e. time of maximal expansion, "decoupling of perturbation")

- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const. \Rightarrow \delta_{TH}$?
 - parametric solution

$$\frac{R_{TH}}{R_{ta}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \cos \eta \right) \qquad R_{ta} = \frac{2GM_{TH}}{c^2}$$
$$\frac{t}{t_{ta}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\eta - \sin \eta \right) \qquad t_{ta} = \frac{\pi R_{ta}}{2c}$$



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t), M_{TH} = const. \Rightarrow \delta_{TH} = \frac{\rho_{TH} \overline{\rho}}{\overline{\rho}}$
 - parametric solution

$$\frac{R_{TH}}{R_{ta}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \cos \eta \right) \qquad R_{ta} = \frac{2GM_{TH}}{c^2}$$
$$\frac{t}{t_{ta}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\eta - \sin \eta \right) \qquad t_{ta} = \frac{\pi R_{ta}}{2c}$$








- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t), M_{TH} = const. \implies \delta_{TH} = \frac{\rho_{TH} \rho}{\overline{\rho}}$



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const. \Rightarrow \delta_{TH} = \frac{\rho_{TH} \rho}{\overline{\rho}}$
 - parametric solution



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const. \Rightarrow \delta_{TH} = \frac{\rho_{TH}}{c}$
 - parametric solution



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t), M_{TH} = const. \implies \delta_{TH} = \frac{\rho_{TH} \rho}{\overline{\rho}}$
 - parametric solution

$$\frac{R_{TH}}{R_{ta}} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos \eta) \qquad R_{ta} = \frac{2GM_{TH}}{c^2} \implies 1 + \delta_{TH} = \frac{\rho_{TH}}{\overline{\rho}} = \dots = \frac{9}{2} \frac{(\eta - \sin \eta)^2}{(1 - \cos \eta)^3}$$
$$\frac{t}{t_{ta}} = \frac{1}{\pi} (\eta - \sin \eta) \qquad t_{ta} = \frac{\pi R_{ta}}{2c}$$



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.

1

• solution for collapsed overdensity

+
$$\delta_{TH}(\eta) = \frac{9}{2} \frac{(\eta - \sin \eta)^2}{(1 - \cos \eta)^3}$$



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.
- solution for collapsed overdensity $1 + \delta_{TH}(\eta) = \frac{9}{2} \frac{\left(\eta - \sin\eta\right)^2}{\left(1 - \cos\eta\right)^3}$ $\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle ta}?$ $\eta_{_{vir}}?$ $\delta_{TH}(t_{ta}) = ?$ $\delta_{TH}(t_{vir}) = ?$ t_{vir} t_{ta} collapse of overdensity turn-around background expansion (i.e. time of maximal expansion)

- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.





- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.
 - solution for collapsed overdensity

$$1 + \delta_{TH}(\eta) = \frac{9}{2} \frac{(\eta - \sin \eta)^2}{(1 - \cos \eta)^3}$$



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.
 - solution for collapsed overdensity

$1 + \delta_{TH}(\eta) = \frac{9}{2} \frac{\left(\eta - \sin\eta\right)^2}{\left(1 - \cos\eta\right)^3}$



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.
 - solution for virialized overdensity

 $E_{ta} = U_{ta}$

$$E_{vir} = T_{vir} + U_{vir}$$



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.
 - solution for virialized overdensity





- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.
 - solution for **virialized** overdensity

$$E_{ta} = U_{ta} \qquad \qquad U_{ta} = -\frac{3}{5} \frac{GM_{TH}^2}{R_{ta}}$$
$$E_{vir} = T_{vir} + U_{vir} \qquad \qquad U_{vir} = -\frac{3}{5} \frac{GM_{TH}^2}{R_{vir}}$$



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.
 - solution for virialized overdensity

$$E_{ta} = U_{ta} \qquad U_{ta} = -\frac{3}{5} \frac{GM_{TH}^2}{R_{ta}}$$
$$E_{vir} = T_{vir} + U_{vir} \qquad U_{vir} = -\frac{3}{5} \frac{GM_{TH}^2}{R_{vir}}$$

virial theorem: $0 = 2T_{vir} + U_{vir} \implies 2E_{vir} = 2T_{vir} + U_{vir} + U_{vir} = U_{vir} = 2U_{ta} = 2E_{ta}$



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.
 - solution for virialized overdensity



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.
 - solution for virialized overdensity

$$R_{vir} = \frac{R_{ta}}{2} \implies \rho_{TH}(t_{vir}) = 8\rho_{TH}(t_{ta})$$



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.
 - solution for virialized overdensity



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.
 - solution for virialized overdensity



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.
 - solution for virialized overdensity

$$1 + \delta_{TH}(t_{vir}) = \frac{8\rho(t_{ta})}{\overline{\rho}(t_{ta})/4} = 32(1 + \delta(t_{ta})) = 32\frac{9\pi^2}{16} = 18\pi^2 \approx 178$$



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.
 - solution for virialized overdensity

$$1 + \delta_{TH}(t_{vir}) = 18\pi^2 \approx 178$$



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.
 - solution for virialized overdensity

$$1 + \delta_{TH}(t_{vir}) = 18\pi^2 \approx 178$$



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.
 - solution for virialized overdensity

$$1 + \delta_{TH}(t_{vir}) = 18\pi^2 \approx 178$$



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.
 - solution for virialized overdensity

$$1 + \delta_{TH}(t_{vir}) = 18\pi^2 \approx 178$$



- Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - spherical (top-hat) overdensity $R_{TH} = R_{TH}(t)$, $M_{TH} = const$.
 - solution for virialized overdensity

$$1 + \delta_{TH}(t_{vir}) = 18\pi^2 \approx 178$$





Spherical Top-Hat Collapse

solution for virialized overdensity

$$1 + \delta_{TH}(t_{vir}) = 18\pi^2 \approx 178$$

$$\delta_c \approx \frac{3}{20} (12\pi)^{2/3} \approx 1.686$$

- territory of computational cosmology...
- ...but powerful, analytical (quasi-linear) approaches exist, too:
 - Zel'dovich approximation (1st order Lagrangian perturbation theory)
 - Spherical Top-Hat Collapse
 - Press-Schechter halo mass function
 - ...

powerful "Press-Schechter" theory

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 187:425-438, 1974 February 1 © 1974. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved. Printed in U.S.A.

FORMATION OF GALAXIES AND CLUSTERS OF GALAXIES BY SELF-SIMILAR GRAVITATIONAL CONDENSATION*

WILLIAM H. PRESS AND PAUL SCHECHTER California Institute of Technology Received 1973 August 1

ABSTRACT

We consider an expanding Friedmann cosmology containing a "gas" of self-gravitating masses. The masses condense into aggregates which (when sufficiently bound) we identify as single particles of a larger mass. We propose that after this process has proceeded through several scales, the mass spectrum of condensations becomes "self-similar" and independent of the spectrum initially assumed. Some details of the self-similar distribution, and its evolution in time, can be calculated with the linear perturbation theory. Unlike other authors, we make no ad hoc assumptions about the spectrum of long-wavelength initial perturbations: the nonlinear N-body interactions of the mass points randomize their positions and generate a perturbation to all larger scales; this should fix the self-similar distribution almost uniquely. The results of numerical experiments on 1000 bodies are presented; these appear to show new nonlinear effects: condensations can "bootstrap" their way up in size faster than the linear theory predicts. Our self-similar model predicts relations between the masses and radii of galaxies and clusters of galaxies, as well as their mass spectra. We compare the predictions with available data, and find some rather striking agreements. If the model is to explain galaxies, then isothermal "seed" masses of $\sim 3 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$ must have existed at recombination. To explain clusters of galaxies, the only necessary seeds are the galaxies themselves. The size of clusters determines, in principle, the deceleration parameter q_0 ; presently available data give only very broad limits, unfortunately.

Subject headings: cosmology - galaxies - galaxies, clusters of

I. INTRODUCTION

The observed matter content of the Universe is very clumpy over a range of mass exceeding 15 orders of magnitude, from stars (~1 M_{\odot}) through clusters and galaxies, to clusters of galaxies of 1015 M_☉. However, on progressively larger scales the evidence for clumpiness is less striking. Considerable effort has been required to demonstrate the existence of superclusters (see Bogart and Wagoner 1973 for a recent treatment). On scales larger than ~ 50 Mpc (but smaller than the present horizon of ~103 Mpc) the Universe is probably isotropic and homogeneous, corresponding to an expanding Friedmann cosmology. Even if the earliest epochs were characterized by chaos and large-scale inhomogeneity (Misner 1968; Rees 1972; Peebles 1972), the isotropy of the cosmic microwave background argues for a Friedmann model at recombination and subsequently. After recombination (and the roughly coincident transition to matter dominance) the Universe probably evolves according to the pressureless dynamical equations (see, e.g., Peebles 1971 or Weinberg 1972).

In this context, how are the various scales of clumpiness to be explained? Star formation from a diffuse medium of sufficient density (and suitable other parameters) may be a purely astrophysical—as opposed

* Supported in part by the National Science Foundation [GP-36687X, GP-28027].

to cosmological—problem: stars form at various epochs, and the process can be observed and studied in the present. In contrast, the condensation of substantially larger scales, especially galaxies and clusters of galaxies, seems to be a unique cosmological event. The accepted view, convincingly stated by Peebles (1965), Silk (1968) and others, puts the formation of these large-scale objects at some epoch between recombination and the present, because only in this period have the large condensing masses been substantially smaller than the cosmological horizon but bigger than their Jeans lengths.

A linear theory of inhomogeneous perturbations has been extensively developed for both isothermal and adiabatic disturbances (Lifshitz 1946; Zel'dovich 1967; for a review see Field 1974), and much recent work has been directed toward propagating an initially postulated spectrum of matter perturbations through the complicated era of recombination, into the era where the perturbations condense into (hopefully) observed objects. This program has yielded considerable cosmological understanding in many respects, but it has not thus far been completely successful in explaining the basic observational data: the mass distribution of galaxies and their linear sizes, the masses and sizes of clusters of galaxies, the fact that there is no strong clustering on larger scales.

In the usual framework of the linear perturbation analysis, physical processes at or before recombination

non-linear structure formation









• a halo has formed when its linear density contrast $\delta(x, a)$ has reached $\delta_c=1.69$



• a halo has formed when its linear density contrast $\delta(x, a)$ has reached $\delta_c=1.69$



- Press-Schechter formula
 - a halo has formed when its linear density contrast $\delta(x, a)$ has reached $\delta_c=1.69$



• a halo has formed when its linear density contrast $\delta(x, a)$ has reached $\delta_c=1.69$



$$\delta_{R}(\vec{x},a) = \int \delta(\vec{x}',a) W_{R}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}') d^{3}x'$$

• a halo of size R has formed when its *linear* density contrast $\delta_R(x, a)$ has reached $\delta_c=1.69$

 $\delta_R(\vec{x},a) = \int \delta(\vec{x}',a) W_R(\vec{x}-\vec{x}') d^3x'$

 \rightarrow we need to count the number of haloes of size R
• a halo of size R has formed when its *linear* density contrast $\delta_R(x, a)$ has reached $\delta_c=1.69$

$$\delta_{R}(\vec{x},a) = \int \delta(\vec{x}',a) W_{R}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}') d^{3}x'$$

• the density contrast $\delta_R(x)$ is a Gaussian random field with variance σ_R

$$p(\delta_R) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_R^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\delta_R}{\sigma_R}\right)^2}$$

• a halo of size R has formed when its *linear* density contrast $\delta_R(x, a)$ has reached $\delta_c=1.69$

$$\delta_{R}(\vec{x},a) = \int \delta(\vec{x}',a) W_{R}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}') d^{3}x'$$

• the density contrast $\delta_R(x)$ is a Gaussian random field with variance σ_R

$$p(\delta_R) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_R^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\delta_R}{\sigma_R}\right)^2} \qquad \sigma_R^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{+\infty} P(k) \hat{W}^2(kR) k^2 \, dk$$

• a halo of size R has formed when its *linear* density contrast $\delta_R(x, a)$ has reached $\delta_c=1.69$

$$\delta_{R}(\vec{x},a) = \int \delta(\vec{x}',a) W_{R}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}') d^{3}x'$$

• the density contrast $\delta_R(x)$ is a Gaussian random field with variance σ_R

$$p(\delta_R) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_R^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\delta_R}{\sigma_R}\right)^2} \qquad \sigma_R^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{+\infty} P(k) \hat{W}^2(kR) k^2 dk$$
power spectrum of density fluctuations:
(all waves inside *R*-window affect σ_R)
$$P(k) = \left(\frac{D(a)}{D(a_0)}\right)^2 P_0(k)$$

• a halo of size R has formed when its *linear* density contrast $\delta_R(x, a)$ has reached $\delta_c=1.69$

$$\delta_{R}(\vec{x},a) = \int \delta(\vec{x}',a) W_{R}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}') d^{3}x'$$

• the density contrast $\delta_R(x)$ is a Gaussian random field with variance σ_R

$$p(\delta_{R}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{R}^{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\delta_{R}}{\sigma_{R}}\right)^{2}} \qquad \sigma_{R}^{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} P(k)\hat{W}^{2}(kR)k^{2} dk$$

• probability to have $\delta_R > \delta_c$

$$F_{>\delta_c}(R) = \int_{\delta_c}^{\infty} p(\delta_R) d\delta_R$$

• a halo of size R has formed when its *linear* density contrast $\delta_R(x, a)$ has reached $\delta_c=1.69$

$$\delta_{R}(\vec{x},a) = \int \delta(\vec{x}',a) W_{R}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}') d^{3}x'$$

• the density contrast $\delta_R(x)$ is a Gaussian random field with variance σ_R

$$p(\delta_{R}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{R}^{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\delta_{R}}{\sigma_{R}}\right)^{2}} \qquad \sigma_{R}^{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} P(k) \hat{W}^{2}(kR) k^{2} dk$$

• probability to have $\delta_R > \delta_c$

$$F_{>\delta_c}(R) = \int_{\delta_c}^{\infty} p(\delta_R) d\delta_R$$

 δ_c

• number of peaks in range [R, R+dR]

$$dN \propto F_{>\delta_c}(R) - F_{>\delta_c}(R + dR)$$

• a halo of size R has formed when its *linear* density contrast $\delta_R(x, a)$ has reached $\delta_c=1.69$

$$\delta_{R}(\vec{x},a) = \int \delta(\vec{x}',a) W_{R}(\vec{x}-\vec{x}') d^{3}x'$$

• the density contrast $\delta_R(x)$ is a Gaussian random field with variance σ_R

$$p(\delta_{R}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{R}^{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\delta_{R}}{\sigma_{R}}\right)^{2}} \qquad \sigma_{R}^{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} P(k) \hat{W}^{2}(kR) k^{2} dk$$

• probability to have $\delta_R > \delta_c$

$$F_{>\delta_c}(R) = \int_{\delta_c}^{\infty} p(\delta_R) d\delta_R$$

• number of peaks in range [R, R+dR]

$$dN \propto F_{>\delta_c}(R) - F_{>\delta_c}(R+dR)$$

• relate scale R to mass M

$$M = \Omega_m \rho_{crit} \frac{4\pi}{3} R^3$$

Press-Schechter formula

$$\frac{dn}{dM}dM = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\overline{\rho}}{M} \frac{\delta_c}{\sigma_M} \left| \frac{d\ln\sigma_M}{d\ln M} \right| \exp\left(\frac{-\delta_c^2}{2\sigma_M^2}\right) \frac{dM}{M}$$

$$\sigma_M^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{+\infty} P(k) \hat{W}^2(kR) k^2 \, dk \qquad P(k) = \left(\frac{D(a)}{D(a_0)}\right)^2 P_0(k)$$
$$\hat{W}(x) = \frac{3}{x^3} \left(\sin(x) - x\cos(x)\right)$$

- $\overline{\rho}$: mean density of Universe
- $\delta_{\rm c}$: density contrast of collapsed structure according to linear perturbation theory
- σ_M : variance of mass on scale corresponding to $M = (4\pi/3)\Omega_{\rm m}\rho_{\rm crit}R^3$

Press-Schechter formula

$$\frac{dn}{dM}dM = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\bar{\rho}}{M} \frac{\delta_c}{\sigma_M} \left| \frac{d\ln\sigma_M}{d\ln M} \right| \exp\left(\frac{-\delta_c^2}{2\sigma_M^2}\right) \frac{dM}{M}$$

$$\sigma_{M}^{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} P(k) \hat{W}^{2}(kR) k^{2} dk \qquad P(k) = \left(\frac{D(a)}{D(a_{0})}\right)^{2} P_{0}(k)$$
$$\hat{W}(x) = \frac{3}{x^{3}} \left(\sin(x) - x\cos(x)\right) \qquad \frac{\text{side note:}}{x^{3}} \left(\sin(x) - x\cos(x)\right) \qquad \frac{1}{x^{3}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} P(k) \hat{W}^{2}(kR) k^{2} dk$$

Side note:

$$\xi_2(R) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{+\infty} P(k) \hat{W}^2(kR) k^2 dk$$

$$\hat{W}(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{x}$$

- $\overline{\rho}$: mean density of Universe
- $\delta_{\rm c}$: density contrast of collapsed structure according to linear perturbation theory
- σ_M : variance of mass on scale corresponding to $M = (4\pi/3)\Omega_{\rm m}\rho_{\rm crit}R^3$

- Press-Schechter formula
 - very good agreement with cosmological simulations



- Press-Schechter formula
 - very good agreement with cosmological simulations, though improvements match simulations even better...



mass function calculator - <u>https://thehalomod.app/</u>

TheHaloMod			HMFCALC MODE	ACKNOWLEDGE	ABOUT	¢
Cosmology	Create					
Transfer Function	Model Name					
Mass Definition						
Filter	Cosmology					
Growth Factor	Redshift					
Halo Model	0		_			
HOD	n₅ 0.9667					
Bias	σ ₈ 0.8159					
Halo Concentration			_			
Tracer Concentration	Cosmology Planck15	H ₀ 67.74	_			
Halo Profile		0 _b				
Tracer Profile			_			
Halo Exclusion		0.3075	_			
	HMF					
	Mass Range (log10) 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20					
	Mass resolution (log lu) 0.01					
	HMF	Tinker (2008) Parameters				
	linker (2008)					
		0.1858659				
		A ₃₀₀				
		0.1995973		CANCEL	CREATE (EN	NTER)







$$\sigma_M^2 = \sigma_0^2 \left(\frac{4\pi\bar{\rho}}{3}\right)^{\frac{n+3}{3}} M^{-\frac{n+3}{3}} = \left(\frac{M}{M_*}\right)^{-\frac{n+3}{3}}$$

$$\frac{dn}{dM}dM = \frac{n+3}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{\overline{\rho}}{M^2}\delta_c \left(\frac{M}{M_*}\right)^{\frac{n+3}{6}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\delta_c^2 \left(\frac{M}{M_*}\right)^{\frac{n+3}{3}}\right] dM$$

territory of computational cosmology...





territory of computational cosmology...



Simulation of actual Local Universe using observationally constrained P(k)

territory of computational cosmology...





• influence of nature of matter via P(k)





http://www.nbody.net





JSu

466



- simulations vs. observations
 - galaxy redshift surveys cover Gpc³ volumes
 - simulations cannot follow full set of physics in such large volumes

- simulations vs. observations
 - galaxy redshift surveys cover Gpc³ volumes
 - simulations cannot follow full set of physics in such large volumes
 - (biased) galaxy formation models required:
 - SAM:Semi-Analytical Galaxy Formation Modelling- HOD:Halo Occupation Distribution- CLF:Conditional Luminosity Function- (S)HAM:(Subhalo) Halo Abundance Matching- biasing model: $P_{gal}(k) = b^2(k) P_{DM}(k)$
 - ...

- simulations vs. observations
 - galaxy redshift surveys cover Gpc³ volumes
 - simulations cannot follow full set of physics in such large volumes
 - (biased) galaxy formation models required:
 - SAM: Semi-Analytical Galaxy Formation Modelling
 - HOD: Halo Occupation Distribution
 - CLF: Conditional Luminosity Function
 - (S)HAM: (Subhalo) Halo Abundance Matching
 - biasing model: $P_{gal}(k) = b^2(k) P_{DM}(k)$
 - ...



- simulations vs. observations
 - galaxy redshift surveys cover Gpc³ volumes
 - simulations cannot follow full set of physics in such large volumes



. . .

- simulations vs. observations
 - galaxy redshift surveys cover Gpc³ volumes
 - simulations cannot follow full set of physics in such large volumes
 - (biased) galaxy formation models required:

- SAM:	Semi-Analytical Galaxy Formation Modelling
– HOD:	Halo Occupation Distribution
– CLF:	Conditional Luminosity Function
– (S)HAM:	(Subhalo) Halo Abundance Matching
 biasing model: 	$P_{\rm gal}(k) = b^2(k) P_{\rm DM}(k)$

non-linear structure formation

simulations vs. observations



0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 2.2 2.4 2.6 2.8 3.0 3.2 3.4 3.6 3.8 4.0 4.2 4.4 4.6 y coordinate in billion light years

"MultiDark Galaxies" (Knebe et al. 2018)

- simulations vs. observations
 - galaxy redshift surveys cover Gpc³ volumes
 - simulations cannot follow full set of physics in such large volumes
 - but simulations are nevertheless quite spectacular...

galaxy formation simulations (incl. baryonic physics):

Illustris:	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NjSFR40SY58
Eagle:	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5F6bDRcy-mA
CLUES:	https://www.cosmosim.org/cms/images-and-movies

LSS simulations (DM only):

Horizon:	http://www.horizon-simulation.org/movies/horizonAGN-all.avi
DEUS:	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kJ1r5NG5YGs
MultiDark:	https://www.cosmosim.org/cms/images-and-movies/

simulations database



supercomputer at LRZ using time granted by PRACE.